## Case 5:04-cv-03843-RMW Document 1187 Filed 07/16/08 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3 4	Michael H. Bierman, State Bar No. 89156 Jeffrey D. Wexler, State Bar No. 132256 LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS 1 601 South Figueroa, Suite 3900 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone No.: 213.892.4992 Fax No.: 213.892.7731	LLP		
5 6 7 8 9	Nicola A. Pisano, State Bar No. 151282 JONES DAY 12265 El Camino Real, Suite 200 San Diego, California 92130-4096 Telephone No.: 858.314.1129 Fax No.: 858.314.1150  Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant Kentucky Oil Technology, N.V. and Defendants Peter Besselink and Memory Metal Holland, B.V.			
10 11 12 13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SAN JOSE DIVISION			
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	MEMRY CORPORATION,  Plaintiff,  v.  KENTUCKY OIL TECHNOLOGY, N.V., PETER BESSELINK, MEMORY METALS HOLLAND, B.V.,  Defendants.  KENTUCKY OIL TECHNOLOGY, N.V.,  Counterclaimant,  v.  MEMRY CORPORATION and SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,  Counterdefendants.	Case No. CV 04-03843 RMW (HRL)  [] ORDER: (1) DISMISSING FIFTH COUNTERCLAIM FOR CORRECTION OF INVENTORSHIP WITHOUT PREJUDICE AS TO THREE PATENTS AND WITH PREJUDICE AS TO SEVEN PATENTS; AND (2) DISMISSING REMAINING COUNTERCLAIMS WITH PREJUDICE AS AGAINST SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION ONLY  Date: June 6, 2008 Time: 9:00 a.m. Ctrm.: 6  The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte, District Judge		
27				

28

On June 6, 2008, the Court heard the motion of defendant and counterclaimant Kentucky Oil Technology, N.V. ("Kentucky Oil"), brought pursuant to its settlement with counterdefendant Schlumberger Technology Corporation ("Schlumberger"): (1) to dismiss its Fifth Counterclaim for joinder of Peter Besselink as co-inventor of certain United States patents in its entirety, without prejudice as to United States Patent Nos. 6,772,836 (the "836 Patent"), 6,799,637 (the "637 Patent"), and 7,185,709 (the "709 Patent") and with prejudice as to the seven other patents identified in the Fifth Counterclaim; and (2) to dismiss its remaining counterclaims as against Schlumberger alone (but not as against plaintiff and counterdefendant Memry Corporation ("Memry")), with prejudice. Kentucky Oil was represented by Michael H. Bierman of Luce, Forward, Hamilton & Scripps LLP. Memry was represented by William J. Cass of Cantor Colburn LLP. Schlumberger was represented by Eileen R. Ridley of Foley & Lardner LLP.

After consideration of the papers in support of and in opposition to Kentucky Oil's motion and of the argument of counsel, and notwithstanding Memry's opposition to the motion, and good cause being found, IT IS ORDERED pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2) that:

- (1) Kentucky Oil's Fifth Counterclaim for joinder of Mr. Besselink as co-inventor of certain United States patents is dismissed in its entirety, without prejudice as to the '836, '637, and '709 Patents and with prejudice as to the seven other patents identified in that Counterclaim, provided that:
  - (a) Kentucky Oil shall, within five Court days of the entry of this Order, provide Memry a written covenant not to file a lawsuit against Memry under 35 U.S.C. § 256 for correction of inventorship of the '836, '637, and '709 Patents, in the form attached as Exhibit A hereto; and
  - (b) Schlumberger shall, within five Court days of the entry of this Order, provide Memry of a copy of the Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release (the "Settlement Agreement") dated April 15, 2008 entered into by parties including Schlumberger and Kentucky Oil, provided that Memry shall treat the Settlement

## Case 5:04-cv-03843-RMW Document 1187 Filed 07/16/08 Page 3 of 3

1	Agreement as Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only Discovery				
2	Materials pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order				
3	and Addendum to Protective Order e-filed by the Court on March 1,				
4	2005 [Docket 40];				
5		(2)	Kentucky Oi	l's remaining counterclaims are dismissed as against	
6	Schlumberger (but not as against Memry), with prejudice; and				
7		(3)	each party to	this action shall bear its own attorneys' fees, costs, and	
8	expenses incurred in connection with the dismissed counterclaims.				
9					
10	DATED: _	7/16	, 2008	Ronald M Whyto	
11				The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte	
12	201017887.1			United States District Judge	
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
	1				

2